Journal of Fluorine Chemistry, 23 389-397

Received: January 17, 1983; accepted: March 1, 1983

# STUDY OF HYPERCONJUGATION IN TRIFLUORAMINE OXIDE AND RELATED MOLECULES USING CORE AND VALENCE IONIZATION POTENTIALS

C. J. EYERMANN, W. L. JOLLY, S. F. XIANG

Department of Chemistry, University of California, and the Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720 (U.S.A.)

J. M. SHREEVE and S. A. KINKEAD

Department of Chemistry, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho 83843 (U.S.A.)

#### SUMMARY

The oxygen, nitrogen and fluorine core binding energies of  $ONF_3$  have been redetermined. The data indicate strong hyperconjugation, with N-O  $\pi$ bonding and weak N-F  $\sigma$ -bonding. The core and valence ionization potentials together indicate that the HOMO of  $ONF_3$  (derived mainly from the O p $\pi$ orbitals) is weakly antibonding. This result is consistent with other data if it is assumed that the latter orbital has considerable fluorine lone pair character and that the orbital derived mainly from the N-F  $\sigma$  orbitals (at lower energy) has considerable N-O  $\pi$  character. The core and valence ionization potentials of OPF<sub>3</sub> and OPCl<sub>3</sub> indicate that the oxygen "lone pair" orbitals of these molecules are at lower energies than the corresponding hypothetical nonbonding oxygen p orbitals. These results imply significant participation of phosphorus  $3d\pi$  orbitals in the P-O bonds.

## INTRODUCTION

Several of the molecular properties of trifluoramine oxide suggest N-0  $\pi$ -bonding and N-F  $\sigma$ -antibonding, corresponding to hyperconjugation of the following type [1].

0022-1139/83/\$3.00

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in The Netherlands

$$-0 - \frac{F}{N^+} - F$$
  $0 = \frac{F}{N^+} F^-$  etc.

For example, the N-O bond length, 1.16 Å, is 0.26 Å shorter than the distance usually ascribed to an N-O single bond, and the N-F bond length, 1.43 Å, is 0.06 Å longer than that of NF<sub>3</sub> [2]. The weighted average N-O stretching frequency of  $ONF_3$  is intermediate between the corresponding values of ONF and  $NO_2F$  [3].

Hyperconjugation in  $ONF_3$  would be expected to have a marked effect on the 6e molecular orbitals, which would be, in the absence of  $\pi$  interactions between the oxygen atom and the rest of the molecule, simply a nonbonding pair of oxygen  $p\pi$  orbitals. An <u>ab initio</u> MO study of  $ONF_3$  by Olsen and Howell [4] suggests that the 6e orbitals are significantly delocalized, with  $\pi$  bonding character in the N-O region and weak  $\sigma$  antibonding character in the N-F regions. The first band in the ultraviolet photoelectron spectrum of  $ONF_3$ , which has been assigned to these orbitals, shows considerable vibrational fine structure and thus is consistent with significant delocalization [5].

The ionization potential of the 6e orbitals, by itself, gives little information about the bonding or antibonding character of the orbitals. Even a comparison of the ionization potential with the first ionization potential of the H2O molecule (which corresponds to ionization of a strictly nonbonding, localized oxygen pm orbital) is of little value because, on going from H2O to ONF3, one does not know the extent to which the ionization potential changes due to changes in electrostatic potential (atomic charges) and electronic relaxation energy. However, it has been shown that both of these effects can be approximately accounted for by using the difference in the oxygen 1s binding energy between  $H_2O$  and  $ONF_3$ [6]. The available binding energy data, when applied in this way lead to the conclusion that the 6e orbitals of ONF3 are weakly antibonding or nonbonding relative to hypothetical localized oxygen 2p orbitals in ONF3. Because this conclusion is apparently at odds with the other experimental and theoretical data, and because the nitrogen and fluorine core binding energies of ONF<sub>3</sub> (which are also relevant to this problem of hyperconjugation) have not been carefully determined, [7,8] we decided to completely redetermine the X-ray photoelectron spectrum of  $ONF_3$  and to make a comparative study of the UPS and XPS spectra of ONF3 and similar molecules.

In Table 1 we have listed pertinent core binding energies for the molecules considered in this study. The core binding energies listed for  $ONF_3$  are newly determined. The N ls and O ls values for  $ONF_3$  are practically identical to our previously reported values, but the F ls value is 1.02 eV lower than the previously reported value.

## TABLE 1

Core	binding	energies <sup>a</sup>

Compound	<sup>E</sup> B, eV		
	0 1s	N 1s or P 2p <sub>3/2</sub>	F 1s or C1 2p <sub>3/2</sub>
NF <sub>3</sub>		414.2	694.45
ONF3	541.96 <sup>b</sup>	417.00 <sup>b</sup>	694.25 <sup>b</sup>
ON(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	537.7		
PF3		141.78	694.09
OPF3	539.1	143.0	695.6
PC13		139.75	206.42
OPC13	537.97	141.02	207.33
P(CH3)3		135.93	
OP(CH3)3	536.05	137.80	
ovc13	538.90		206.10
н <sub>2</sub> 0	53 <b>9.</b> 8		
HF			694.22
нсі			207.39

 $^{a}$  Except where noted, binding energies are from the table in ref. 8.  $^{b}$  This work.

Useful information can be obtained from a simple qualitative consideration of the core binding energies. First, let us compare  $NF_3$  and  $ONF_3$  with  $PF_3$  and  $OPF_3$ . Because phosphorus is less electronegative than nitrogen, one would ordinarily expect a given set of ligand atoms to be more negatively charged when bonded to a phosphorus atom than when bonded to a nitrogen atom. This prediction is clearly borne out by the fact that the fluorine ls binding energy of  $PF_3$  is 0.36 eV lower than that of  $NF_3$ .

However, the data for  $\text{ONF}_3$  and  $\text{OPF}_3$  are not consistent. Although the oxygen 1s binding energy of  $\text{OPF}_3$  is 2.86 eV lower than that of  $\text{ONF}_3$ , the fluorine 1s binding energy of  $\text{OPF}_3$  is 1.35 eV greater than that of  $\text{ONF}_3$ . We believe the latter data strongly support the concept of hyperconjugation in  $\text{ONF}_3$ . Because of delocalization of the oxygen pm orbital of  $\text{ONF}_3$ , the electron density of the oxygen atom is very much lower, and that of the fluorine atoms is higher, than in the case of  $\text{OPF}_3$ , where there is relatively little m interaction between the oxygen atom and the rest of the molecule.

In the case of  $ON(CH_3)_3$ , hyperconjugation of the type found in  $ONF_3$  is unimportant, and the oxygen 1s binding energy is, as expected, relatively low.

## QUANTITATIVE TREATMENT OF CORE AND VALENCE IONIZATION POTENTIALS

In Table 2 we have listed the vertical ionization potentials of the oxygen and halogen 'lone pair' orbitals. In the case of the  $OMX_3$  molecules, the oxygen 'lone pair' orbitals are the orbitals of e symmetry derived from the degenerate  $p\pi$ -type orbitals of the oxygen atoms. The lone pair orbital of  $H_2O$  is the nonbonding  $p\pi$  orbital perpendicular to the molecular plane. In the case of the halogen lone pair orbitals of the  $OX_3$  and  $OMX_3$  molecules, we have listed the ionization potentials of the orbitals of the orbitals of a symmetry which have pure halogen p orbital character and which have no net overlap with any other orbitals. The halogen lone pair orbitals.

In Table 2 we have also listed the localized orbital ionization potential (LOIP) values, <u>i.e.</u> the ionization potentials, calculated using core binding energies, for the orbitals in hypothetical localized (nonbonding) states. These LOIP values were calculated assuming that the HOMOs of  $H_2O$ , HF, and HCl are strictly nonbonding and that their ionization potentials are true LOIPs. It was also assumed that differences in LOIP values between compounds are eight-tenths of the corresponding differences in core binding energy [9,10]. The latter assumption has considerable experimental and theoretical justification [6,9]. It should be pointed out that all the conclusions of this paper would be essentially unchanged if the factor of 0.8 were changed by 0.1.

TABLE 2

Compound	Oxygen 'lone pairs'		Halogen 'lone pairs'	
	IP <sup>a</sup>	LOIP	IP <sup>a</sup>	LOIP
NF <sub>3</sub>			16.15	16.2
ONF3	14.11	14.3	15.54	16.1
ON(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	8.43 <sup>b</sup>	10.9		
PF3			15.89	16.0
OPF3	13.52	12.1	17.09	17.2
PC13			11.70 <sup>c</sup>	11.96
OPC13	12.13	11.2	12.52	12.69
OP(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	9.9 <sup>b</sup>	9.6		
OVC13	~12.9 <sup>d</sup>	~12.0	11.84 <sup>d</sup>	11.71
H <sub>2</sub> 0	12.61 <sup>e</sup>	(12.61)		
HF			16.06 <sup>f</sup>	(16.06)
нс1			12.75 <sup>f</sup>	(12.75)

Valence shell vertical ionization potentials, in eV

<sup>a</sup> Except where noted, ionization potentials and assignments from ref. 5.
<sup>b</sup> S. Elbel, H. tom Dieck, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton (1976) 1757. <sup>c</sup> J. L.
Berkosky, F. O. Ellison, T. H. Lee, J. W. Rabalais, J. Chem. Phys. (1973) 59, 5342. <sup>d</sup> P. Burroughs, S. Evans, A. Hamnett, A. F. Orchard, N. V.
Richardson, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday II (1974) 70, 1895. <sup>e</sup> C. R. Brundle, D.
W. Turner, Proc. Roy. Soc., Ser. A (1968) 307, 27. <sup>f</sup> D. C. Frost, C. A.
McDowell, D. A. Vroom, J. Chem. Phys. (1967) 46, 4255.

It will be noted that the ionization potentials of the strictly nonbonding  $a_2$  halogen lone pair orbitals of the OMX<sub>3</sub> and MX<sub>3</sub> molecules are very close to the LOIP values calculated using the core and valence ionization potentials of these molecules and the reference molecules, HF and HC1. If we omit the data for ONF<sub>3</sub>, the average deviation between the ionization potentials and the calculated LOIP values is only ±0.13 eV, a gratifying confirmation of the validity of the method for calculating LOIP values. The relatively large deviation of 0.47 eV in the case of ONF<sub>3</sub> is probably not much greater than the uncertainty of the experimental ionization potential. The band centered at 15.54 eV in the UV photoelectron spectrum of ONF<sub>3</sub> has a half-width of about 1.1 eV and has been assigned to both the e and  $a_2$  orbitals associated with the fluorine pm orbitals [5]. It is quite conceivable that the  $a_2$  orbital (which by symmetry is strictly nonbonding) has an ionization potential near 16.0 eV and that the e orbital (which could interact repulsively with other filled e orbitals) has an ionization potential such that the weighted average ionization potential is 15.54 eV.

Now let us consider the oxygen 'lone pair' orbitals. In each of the OMX<sub>3</sub> compounds, the oxygen atom has a degenerate pair of  $p\pi$  orbitals which can conceivably interact with the bonding and antibonding M-X  $\sigma$  orbitals of the same symmetry.

In the case of  $ONF_3$ , the oxygen lone pair ionization potential is just slightly lower than the LOIP value, indicating that the energy of the HOMO is slightly above that of a hypothetical nonbonding oxygen p orbital. We believe the only reasonable explanation of this result is that the oxygen  $p\pi$  orbitals interact slightly more with the N-F  $\sigma$  orbitals than with the N-F  $\sigma^*$  orbitals, as suggested by the qualitative energy level diagram of Fig. 1. The N-F  $\sigma^*$  level is raised and the N-F  $\sigma$  level is lowered as a consequence of interactions with the 0  $p\pi$  orbitals, but there is relatively little net change in the energy of the 0  $p\pi$  orbitals. The lowest orbitals, derived mainly from the N-F  $\sigma$  orbitals, acquire considerable N-O  $\pi$ -bonding character and lose some of their N-F  $\sigma$  bonding character. The oxygen 'lone pair' orbitals (the HOMO) also become quite delocalized; they acquire considerable fluorine lone pair character. Because of the weak antibonding character of the HOMO, the vibrational fine structure associated with its ionization is understandable.

The data in Table 2 for  $ON(CH_3)_3$  indicate that the oxygen 'lone pair' level is 2.5 eV higher than the hypothetical nonbonding 0 pm level. This result can be interpreted as follows. Because the electronegativity of carbon is much less than that of fluorine, the N-C  $\sigma$  levels of  $ON(CH_3)_3$  lie higher than those of  $ONF_3$ . Hence the 0 pm orbitals of  $ON(CH_3)_3$  interact principally with the N-C  $\sigma$ -bonding orbitals (as shown schematically in Fig. 2), and the resulting oxygen 'lone pair' level is raised relative to the hypothetical nonbonding 0 pm level. The relatively long N-O bond of  $ON(CH_3)_3$ , 1.39 Å, [11] is consistent with little net m-bonding character.



Fig. 1. Qualitative molecular orbital energy level diagram for ONF3.



Fig. 2. Qualitative molecular orbital energy level diagram for  $ON(CH_3)_3$ .



Fig. 3. Qualitative molecular molecular orbital energy level diagram for  $OPX_3$  or  $OVC1_3$ .

From Table 2 we see that the oxygen 'lone pair' levels of  $OPF_3$ ,  $OPCl_3$ ,  $OP(CH_3)_3$  and  $OVCl_3$  lie below the corresponding hypothetical nonbonding 0 pm levels. Because the electronegativities of phosphorus and vanadium are much lower than that of nitrogen, the M-X o\* orbital levels of the MX<sub>3</sub> groups in these OMX<sub>3</sub> molecules lie higher, relative to the corresponding 0 pm levels, than in the case of  $ONF_3$ . Hence the <u>lowering</u> of the oxygen lone pair orbital levels in these OMX<sub>3</sub> molecules cannot be a consequence of hyperconjugation. We are forced to the conclusion that the principal interaction of the 0 pm orbitals in these molecules is with the empty 3d orbitals of phosphorus and vanadium, as indicated qualitatively in Fig. 3. The data of Table 2 show that the (0 pm)-(P dm) interaction decreases on going from  $OPF_3$  to  $OPCl_3$  to  $OP(CH_3)_3$ , presumably as a consequence of the decrease in charge on the phosphorus atom and the concomitant increase in diffusivity of the 3d orbitals.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The core binding energies of gaseous  $ONF_3$  were determined at room temperature using the spectrometer and procedures described previously [12]. The trifluoramine oxide was obtained from the Allied Chemical Corporation. Its infrared spectrum agreed very closely with the published spectrum, [3] including peaks attributed to impurities. Inasmuch as the N ls spectrum showed no evidence of other peaks (except that due to the N<sub>2</sub> calibrant), we conclude that the sample contained no significant amount of nitrogen-containing impurities. (All other N compounds would have N ls binding energies at least 2.5 eV lower than that of  $ONF_3$ .) The estimated uncertainties in the N ls, O ls, and F ls binding energies, and the corresponding FWHM values in eV are, respectively:  $\pm 0.05$  (1.46),  $\pm 0.02$ (2.33), and  $\pm 0.03$  (1.77).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098.

- 1 K. O. Christe, E. C. Curtis and C. J. Schack, Spectrochim. Acta <u>31A</u> (1978) 1035; D. C. Frost, F. G. Herring, K. A. R. Mitchell and I. A. Stenhouse, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93 (1971) 1596.
- 2 V. Plato, W. D. Hartford and K. Hedberg, J. Chem. Phys. 53 (1970) 3488.
- 3 E. C. Curtis, D. Pilipovich and W. H. Moberly, J. Chem. Phys. <u>46</u> (1967) 2904.
- 4 J. F. Olsen and J. M. Howell, J. Fluorine Chem. 10 (1977) 197.
- 5 P. J. Bassett and D. R. Lloyd, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1972) 248.
- 6 W. L. Jolly, J. Phys. Chem. 85 (1981) 3792.
- 7 Only tentative values of the N ls and F ls binding energies of  $\text{ONF}_3$  are given in ref. 8.
- 8 A. A. Bakke, H. W. Chen and W. L. Jolly, J. Electron Spectros. Relat. Phenom. 20 (1980) 333.
- 9 W. L. Jolly and C. J. Eyermann, J. Phys. Chem. 86 (1982) 4834.
- 10 Consider the following simple application of the LOIP concept [6]. The 12.61 eV ionization potential of  $H_2O$ , corresponding to a strictly nonbonding MO, may be taken as the LOIP of the oxygen p orbital in  $H_2O$ . The 0 ls binding energy of  $OF_2$  is 5.53 eV greater than that of  $H_2O$ . We therefore estimate that the LOIP of the oxygen 2p orbital in  $OF_2$  is 0.8(5.53) eV or 4.4 eV greater than 12.61 eV, or 17.0 eV. The observed oxygen lone pair ionization potential of  $OF_2$  is 13.25 eV, i.e. 3.8 eV lower than the LOIP value. Hence it is clear that the oxygen 'lone pair' orbital of  $OF_2$  is strongly antibonding.
- 11 A. Caron, G. J. Palenik, E. Goldish and J. Donohue, Acta Cryst. <u>17</u> (1964) 102.
- 12 H. W. Chen, W. L. Jolly, J. Kopf and T. H. Lee, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>101</u> (1979) 2607.